Chairman Attwood called the meeting to order at 7:30 p.m. Roll was called and a quorum determined. The assembled group recited the Pledge of Allegiance. Mr. Attwood explained to the audience how to exit the chamber in the event of an emergency, in compliance with the Fire Marshal’s order.

PUBLIC HEARING @ 7:30 P.M. – Proposed Sewer Use Fee for 2010
Financial information prepared by James Jaskot, Asst. Financial Director
Information submitted by Chairman Attwood

The Mr. Michelangelo read the public notice of this Public Hearing as follows:

Pursuant to Connecticut State Statutes, Chapter 103, Section # 7-255 and Section #7-247, notice is hereby given that a Public Hearing will be held by the Water Pollution Control Authority of the Town of Cheshire at the Cheshire Town Hall, Council Chambers, 84 South Main Street, Cheshire, Connecticut, at 7:30 P.M. on Wednesday, December 15, 2010.

At said time and place, the Water Pollution Control Authority will consider and take action on the proposed revision of the Sewer User Charge Regulations. It is proposed that the Sewer User
Charge will be established at three hundred and forty-five dollars ($345.00) per annum per single-family dwelling. Other users will pay an amount based on their relative water use in accordance with the format outlined in the Regulations but not less than three hundred and forty-five dollars ($345.00) per year.

A copy of the proposed Revision to the Sewer Use Charge Regulations will be on file in the Town Clerk’s Office on or before November 30, 2010.

Mr. Eberle commented that this would be the only time this evening for the public to address the Water Pollution Control Authority regarding this topic.

Public Discussion:

Martin Roach of 38 Pleasant Drive came forward to speak to the Authority and asked what the commercial rate is now? Chairman Attwood replied that currently it is based on the Sewer Use Fee of $315, based on the number of equivalent usage of a household and actual water used. All Commercial and Industrial properties are based on the same rate and then their actual water usage from their water bill is taken into calculation based on the number of home equivalents their actual usage converts to.

Mr. Roach questioned why the residential Sewer Use Fee is not calculated based on actual water usage? Mr. Eberle commented that it would be difficult to do for residential because of the offsets that would have to be made for pools, lawn sprinklers, etc. that don’t go back into the Sewer System but would be included in water usage. It was noted that using actual residential water usage in the Sewer Use Fee has been evaluated but the cost for the program is too high compared to the expected results. Chairman Attwood commented that a meter to monitor actual sewage discharge would be the most equitable.

Mr. Roach commented that his neighbor has 3 kids, does laundry all week long and pays the same Sewer Use Fee as he does for just him and his wife, who only do a couple loads of laundry a week. There is a big difference between what a family uses and an older couple, yet they pay the same rate... Is that fair?

Town Attorney Lord commented that a Public Hearing is to receive comment not debate issues.

Mr. Gancarz commented that the Sewer Use rate is fair because it depends not only on the sewage used but there are still the need for the Plant, the pipes, etc. All these are fixed costs; the bulk of the Sewer Use Fee is to run the Plant. Is it exactly equitable, no but it is reasonable. Mr. Gancarz added that it is not worth the initial costs for the sewage meters to the Town, it would only create a greater cost for the Town. He noted that he has not been on the Water Pollution Control Authority for a very long time, but Mr. Gancarz added that he has been in this field for work for a long time.

Chairman Attwood tried to explain that the Authority has spent a lot of time assessing the use of the water bill to determine fees but in the end it would not be much more equitable. Mr. Roach interrupted and stated that we all know its unfair, he was on the Energy Commission for many years and he knows that everything in this Town is done twice…Why is the Sewer Use Fee not calculated off of the water bill? Chairman Attwood again tried to explain to Mr. Roach that it is not a fully equitable system.

Mr. Roach then left the Public Hearing.
MOTION

Mr. Eberle moved that the Water Pollution Control Authority close the Public Hearing regarding the Proposed Sewer Use Fee for 2010. The motion was seconded by Mr. Scannell and carried unanimously, 5 - 0.

REGULAR MONTHLY MEETING was called to order at 7:47 P.M. by Chairman Attwood.

1. Public Communications

There were no public communications to the Water Pollution Control Authority at this meeting.

2. Establishment of Sewer Use Fee

Mr. Gancarz stated that he fully supports the proposed $345 to try to build up the Budgetary Fund. Mr. Eberle added that he agrees and its also a good idea regarding billing being done in the future in a more cost effective way. Authority members agreed that they cannot re-coup all of the costs for the Sewer Users through fees to the users but that some type of adjustment is needed.

Mr. Eberle expressed is displeasure that only 1 person from the public was at the Public Hearing for the proposed Sewer Use Fee increase. Chairman Attwood noted that he did receive quite a few calls at home, most of the people were commenting in this period of time, more than a 10% increase is a burden for people at this time. Chairman Attwood commented that he is very sensitive to that.

Mr. Korman asked if an increased Sewer User Fee of $345 would be sufficient to not have to dip into the Fund Balance? Ms. Ryan, Finance Director for the Town of Cheshire, replied not long term. She noted that there has always been a supplement from the Town’s General Fund to the Water Pollution Control Authority’s Budget. The Sewer Use Fee has been the same now for many, many years and the Fund Balance has always been utilized, even before the Debt Service. The Authority could never raise the rates enough to cover the Debt Service.

Mr. Eberle asked if the Town Council is putting a Sewer Use Fee of $330 in the Budget Plan, why are they asking the Water Pollution Control Authority to set a rate when the Town Council already has? Town Attorney Lord replied that a Budgeted item does not determine an actual Sewer Use Fee rate; the Water Pollution Control Authority sets the rate. Chairman Attwood added that the Town Council has made a recommendation.

Members of the Authority and Town Staff talked about the Budgeting and the Debt Service of the Water Pollution Control Authority. Chairman Attwood stated that some alternate routes to generate revenue for the Authority have been included in this meeting’s packet for members to review.

Mr. Gancarz suggested the Authority put forward a Sewer Use Fee of $340, it would not be at the max but it would get the Authority closer to a break-even point. The Authority could try to get to a break-even point once the economy improves.
Mr. Korman questioned what will be done with the Authority runs out of the Fund Balance? Mr. Eberle commented that it will force the issue as to where to spread the expenses to the average person. Mr. Korman suggested that the Sewer Use Fee not be changed and force everyone to deal with the problem now rather than later.

Mr. Scannell commented that he agrees with the $340 Sewer Use Fee because he thinks it will help address the shortfall.

**MOTION**

Mr. Gancarz moved that the Water Pollution Control Authority approve the annual Sewer Use Charge Fee be established at $340 per single-family equivalent dwelling unit. Non-residential users shall pay an amount based on their relative water usage in accordance with the format outlined in the Sewer User Charge Regulations but not less than $340 per year.

**Discussion:**
Mr. Korman commented that he thought the Authority should go with the Town Council’s recommendation of $315 or go to $345 to make an impact. Chairman Attwood commented that the $345 fee is too high for those people who can’t afford the increase. Mr. Gancarz commented that the Authority has to tackle the issue with the Fund Balance. Mr. Eberle commented that the Fund Balance would have to be addressed with the Operating Budget, to cut expenses.

The motion was seconded by Mr. Scannell and failed, 3 - 2.

**MOTION**

Mr. Attwood moved that the Water Pollution Control Authority approve the annual Sewer Use Charge Fee be established at $330 per single-family equivalent dwelling unit. Non-residential users shall pay an amount based on their relative water usage in accordance with the format outlined in the Sewer User Charge Regulations but not less than $330 per year.

**Discussion:**
Mr. Scannell commented that at this Public Hearing only 1 person showed up and didn’t really address the issue. He stated that he thinks people will accept the increased fee of $330. Chairman Attwood stated that he agreed, he thinks people will accept it but because they are stuck with it. He stated that he received around 30 telephone calls at home from people that are concerned about the fee increase and can’t pay the fee in this economy.

The motion was seconded by Mr. Korman and failed, 2 - 3.

**APPROVAL MOTION**

Mr. Gancarz moved that the Water Pollution Control Authority approve the annual Sewer Use Charge Fee be established at $335 per single-family equivalent dwelling unit. Non-residential users
shall pay an amount based on their relative water usage in accordance with the format outlined in the Sewer User Charge Regulations but not less than $335 per year.

The motion was seconded by Mr. Scannell and carried unanimously, 5 - 0.

Mr. Korman asked if Finance is working on options for year 3, when there is no Fund Balance remaining? Councilman Schrumm replied that the Town will have to take over the Debt Service for the Water Pollution Control Authority, unless something changes.

3. Applications

A. November 5, 2010 letter from Christopher Conklin to WPCA regarding Proposed Sanitary Lateral at 405 Maple Avenue
Letter to WPCA from Joseph Michelangelo, dated December 8, 2010

Mr. Michelangelo explained that this applicant was before the Authority last year and received approval for 2 additional lots at 405 Maple Avenue. The applicant would now like to only split the property into 1 additional lot and has re-submitted a feasibility application to the Water Pollution Control Authority.

Members of the Authority reviewed the plans for 405 Maple Avenue. Mr. Gancarz questioned the minimal coverage on lot 2 of only 2 feet in some areas, noting that it was pretty skimpy. Chairman Attwood asked what the code requirements are for coverage depth? Mr. Chelton noted that there is no code on depth requirements just acceptable practice. He added that the frost line is 4 feet.

Mr. Michelangelo stated that the applicant had revised the plan so that the minimum coverage is around 6 feet and the 2 and 3 feet coverage depths were on the old plans, which have now been revised. He noted that the sewer pipes will be coming out of the house at approximately 7 feet down.

Chairman Attwood asked if there would be a capacity charge for this project? Mr. Michelangelo noted that this property had a sewer line installed in 1984 and paid for 2+ lots. Town Attorney Lord commented that since the property was previously assessed there would be no capacity fee now.

APPROVAL MOTION

Mr. Eberle moved that the Cheshire WPCA has reviewed the application and submittals of Jason Welles for 405 Maple Avenue for Feasibility Approval For Extension of Public Sanitary Sewers. Based upon that review and based upon the recommendation of the Director of Public Works, the WPCA has determined that the application and submittals detail a sanitary sewerage system which is generally capable of construction and which is capable of being connected to the Town of Cheshire public sanitary sewer system; therefore, the application is APPROVED, with the following conditions:

[12.2.B] This Approval is preliminary and does not constitute conclusive nor final approval. The right to connect to the sewer system can only be gained by applying for, and obtaining approval of, Final Design Approval, an Award of Capacity, and a Sanitary Sewer Connection Permit.
1. All costs connected with the proposed sewer system shall be borne by the developer.

2. All transfers of property in the subject development shall be encumbered by written waiver by each grantee to any right to appeal any benefit assessment, which the Town of Cheshire may levy on such property; however, each grantee may reserve the right of appeal regarding supplemental assessments that the Town may levy.

3. The application and submittals are incorporated and made a part of this Approval.

4. The proposed sanitary sewer system shall become a part of the public sanitary sewer system [except for that part which is to be retained in private ownership which is described on the application plans].

The motion was seconded by Mr. Attwood and carried unanimously, 5 - 0.

Chairman Attwood commented on the importance of having the correct proposed motion(s) copy attached to the meeting forms.

4. Projects

A. Sanitary Sewer Extension project – Applewood Dr. & Riverside Dr. area

Mr. Michelangelo reported that installation of the sanitary sewer extension for Applewood Drive and an area of Riverside Drive have been completed and camera’d. There will be another invoice in the range of $20 – 25,000 for change orders that will be bundled for this project.

Mr. Korman asked about the additional items noted on this month’s invoice from Baltazar? Mr. Michelangelo replied that they are additional costs associated with the extension of the project to Mr. Triepke’s property on Country Club Road. He noted that there was a section of the road that had to be restored and a curtain drain that was supposed to be there wasn’t as well as a trench that had to be extended to 7 feet instead of 5 feet. Mr. Korman asked if the extension to Country Club Road was part of the original plan? Mr. Michelangelo replied that the Authority approved this extension of the original project in the past month or two. There was an addition of 7% of pipe placed in the ground as a result of this extension. Mr. Michelangelo also noted that at least 2 curtain drains were encountered that were not on any plans for the area. He added that an “As Built” was not done for this neighborhood because the contractor had gone bankrupt. In addition, 555 Riverside Drive had to be tied into the sewer extension project, as extra for this project.

Mr. Korman commented that this project is approximately 23% over budget. There was some discussion about the extra costs on this project. Chairman Attwood asked how much of the $70,000 extras are associated with the one additional property on Country Club Road? Mr. Michelangelo estimated $30,000 and noted that 26 houses are serviced by this extension project.

Chairman Attwood asked where the additional funds for this project will come from? Mr. Michelangelo replied the funds would come from the Capital Account for Miscellaneous Sewer
Extensions. This project will cost approximately $375,000 and there was $608,000 approved by the voters for Sewer Extension projects.

Approval of Baltazar application of payment # 2 dated to November 15, 2010 in the amount of $162,447.73

Mr. Korman moved that the Water Pollution Control Authority approve and pay in full payment #2 from Baltazar in the amount of $162,447.73, for services relating to the sanitary sewer extension project for Applewood Drive and Riverside Drive area. The motion was seconded by Mr. Gancarz and carried unanimously, 5 - 0.

B. Mixville Pump Station - Project Progress Schedule

Mr. Mariusz Jedrychowski of Wright Pierce was present to give the Authority an update on the Mixville Pump Station. Mr. Jedrychowski stated that the contract is complete and a punch list of 25-30 minor items is being put together and will be finalized by the 2nd week of January for the final walk through. Training of Staff is being done this week and next week as the Mixville Pump Station is up and running.

Chairman Attwood asked if there will be anything else billed by Xenelis Construction Co. for this project? Mr. Jedrychowski replied that there would be one more bill to come but he was not sure of the amount.

Approval of Wright Pierce invoice # 74401 dated December 7, 2010 in the amount of $1,961.50.

Mr. Attwood moved that the Water Pollution Control Authority approve and pay in full invoice #74401 from Wright Pierce in the amount of $1,961.50, for services relating to the Mixville Pump Station. The motion was seconded by Mr. Scannell and carried unanimously, 5 - 0.

Approval of Xenelis Construction Co. – application for payment # 10 dated 11/30/10 in the amount of $43,652.50.

Mr. Attwood moved that the Water Pollution Control Authority approve and pay in full, payment #10 to Xenelis Construction Co. in the amount of $43,652.50, for services relating to the Mixville Pump Station. The motion was seconded by Mr. Scannell and carried unanimously, 5 - 0.

C. WPCD Influent Pump Station.

Mr. Chelton noted that not much physical activity is being done regarding the WPCD Influent Pump Station. Currently we are waiting for the delivery of the pumps from Germany; they should be in by mid-February 2011.
Approval of AECOM invoice # 37071640 dated 12/6/10 in the amount of $14,117.84.

Mr. Attwood moved that the Water Pollution Control Authority approve and pay in full invoice #37071640 from AECOM in the amount of $14,117.84, for services relating to the WPCD Influent Pump Station. The motion was seconded by Mr. Eberle and carried unanimously, 5 - 0.

Approval of C.H. Nickerson Co. – application of payment # 6 dated 11/30/10 in the amount of $58,615.00.

Mr. Attwood moved that the Water Pollution Control Authority approve and pay in full, payment #6 to C.H. Nickerson Co. in the amount of $58,615.00, for services relating to the WPCD Influent Pump Station. The motion was seconded by Mr. Eberle and carried unanimously, 5 - 0.

D. Facilities Plan Update from AECOM
   Overview of meeting scheduled with DEP for November 17, 2010

Mr. Chelton stated that this overview was done at the last Water Pollution Control Authority meeting.

E. WPCD Plant Upgrade Design
   Update on involvement of Cheshire Energy Commission on plan
   Discussion on reassign phosphor targets – it’s affects on the design
   Approval of AECOM’s agreement for design services. (Copies will be sent to Authority members by AECOM prior to the meeting.)

Mr. Chelton stated that he sent Rich Ogurick, Chairman of the Cheshire Energy Commission an e-mail last month regarding the Energy Commission’s involvement in the WPCD Plant Upgrade Design and has not received a response yet. Chairman Attwood asked Mr. Michelangelo or Mr. Dievert to send official notification to Mr. Ogurick, copying Town Manager Milone and the Town Council, so they are aware that the Energy Commission has been contacted by this Authority.

Mr. Chelton talked about the reassessment of the phosphorus targets; he noted that these items were discussed by the Department of Environmental Protection last month. Agreements needs to be reached with the Environmental Protection Agency on the levels but it is believed that Cheshire’s level should be set at .2. Mr. Chelton noted that the level will not increase from here for Cheshire, but may decrease.

With regards to AECOM’s design service agreement for the proposed WPCP upgrade, Mr. Chelton reviewed the December 10, 2010 letter on the subject from AECOM to the Water Pollution Control Authority. He explained that based on the fact that this project will be over $10 million in
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construction costs, the DEP requires the project undergo an independent value engineering analysis following the completion of the preliminary design report. Mr. Chelton has estimated that the value engineering services will cost $75,000 plus an additional $25,000 for AECOM to prepare and work with the value engineering consultant. As of right now, AECOM has not estimated what design revision work might cost to incorporate the value engineering recommendations. AECOM has also estimated $20,000 to research and further evaluate two possible “green” items that could possibly be incorporated in the Plant upgrade.

Chairman Attwood asked Mr. Gancarz, with his experience, does the estimate for the value engineering and AECOM’s services with the value engineer consultant sound reasonable? Mr. Gancarz replied yes these are reasonable estimates and fees. Chairman Attwood noted that the total estimated fee for AECOM’s services during the Plant design is just under $1.5 million, and this would only get to 90% of the design services. Chairman Attwood commented that the Authority needs a full design plan and construction number, for planning going forward with this upgrade project.

Chairman Attwood again asked Mr. Gancarz, with his experience, does the estimate for AECOM’s design services seem reasonable? Mr. Gancarz replied no, in his experience the estimate would be for 100% of the design services, not 90%. Mr. Gancarz asked what the design fee would be for AECOM’s services, at 100% of the design services? Mr. Chelton replied that he did not have that number this evening, but he could get it. Members of the Authority agreed that they would not want to go back to the Town Council for additional appropriations, once the initial appropriation is in place.

There was some discussion regarding going to referendum with estimated prices or non-funded pieces of the upgrade project. Chairman Attwood suggested that this topic could be discussed further at the next Water Pollution Control Authority meeting. Mr. Chelton noted that the next Authority meeting is scheduled for the end of January, the design planning is already 6 months behind schedule and at this rate the Authority won’t have the 90% number by the August Capital Budget approval time for the November 2011 referendum. Mr. Chelton stated that AECOM needs a vote of approval to start the design planning now or it will be pushed back another 6 weeks.

Town Attorney Lord suggested that the Authority could call a Special Meeting to further review and possibly decide on this AECOM contract. Mr. Chelton noted that he would not be available for the first 3 weeks in January. Chairman Attwood asked if there is a cap on the additional 10% of design services? Mr. Chelton replied he doesn’t have a number right now but he may be able to have it by Monday. Mr. Eberle commented that he is not comfortable making a decision on this tonight.

Chairman Attwood asked about the Members’ availability for the week between Christmas and New Years? It was agreed that most members would be available for a Special Meeting of the Water Pollution Control Authority.

Mr. Attwood moved that the Water Pollution Control Authority set a Special Meeting for Tuesday, December 28, 2010 at 7 p.m. for the review and possible approval of AECOM’s agreement for design services relating to the WPCD Plant Upgrade. The motion was seconded by Mr. Eberle and carried unanimously, 5 - 0.
F. I & I Program – Interceptor Manhole Rehabilitation – Warranty Period

Mr. Chelton reported that the Interceptor Manhole Rehabilitation project is in the Warranty Period and there has been no activity to report.

5. New Projects

Discussion and possible action of West Johnson and Cook Hill Pump Station Designs

It was noted that the West Johnson Avenue and Cook Hill Road Pump Stations’ estimated lives are 30 years old. Chairman Attwood stated that he would like to have the designs started on these two Pump Stations in preparation for their upgrades, in case something happens. He asked what the Water Pollution Control Authority has to do to get this started? Mr. Chelton replied that the Authority has to give authorization to get the design started.

Chairman Attwood asked to have the authorization for design work regarding the West Johnson Avenue and Cook Hill Road Pump Station upgrades added to the December 28th Special Meeting Agenda of the Water Pollution Control Authority.

6. Superintendent’s Report

A. Cheshire Schools – Zero Flow Urinals

Mr. Dievert stated that there was nothing new to report to the Authority on this topic.

B. Sump Pumps (Subcommittee update)

Mr. Gancarz stated that the Sump Pump Subcommittee met earlier tonight and reported that a fair amount of progress has been made with the proposed plan. A draft will be available at next month’s Water Pollution Control Authority meeting. Town Manager Milone has said there may be a couple of sources for funding for this project.

C. FOG program

Mr. Dievert reported that he has attended 2 meetings in the past month regarding the upcoming FOG (Fats, Oils and Grease) Management & Control Program Regulations. He is working on a letter to the class 3 and class 4 food service facilities in Cheshire reminding them they need to comply with this new Regulation by July 2011. In order to prove any offenses, the Town and Water Pollution Control Authority will have to be able to prove that grease blockages are coming from a certain facility and then the State will step in. Mr. Dievert talked about involving CHESPROCOTT for the checking of grease traps when they do their annual inspection at the local food service facilities. Mr. Dievert noted that there are 72 locations in Town that will have to be inspected for FOG Program compliance, including the schools. He also noted that there were 3 grease blockages recently that could have been major problems in Town.
Chairman Attwood commented that the Authority should review the tipping fees for Cheshire. Mr. Dievert commented that he is working on some potential revenue items with the Town Manager. Chairman Attwood stated that he would like to review the tipping fees with the other Town fees at the next Water Pollution Control Authority meeting. Mr. Eberle asked if a Public Hearing would need to be held to raise tipping fees? Mr. Michelangelo replied yes. Mr. Dievert commented that the Town wants people to continue to maintain their private septic systems; an increase in tipping fees will be passed along to the homeowners. Chairman Attwood noted that the fee is the same for everyone; there are no meters on the trucks.

D. Proposed Cell Tower

Mr. Dievert reported that the balloon tests for the proposed cell tower where done, he has received no feedback after the test. Councilman Schrumm commented that he did not hear anything about it either. Mr. Scannell commented that he drove by during the testing and he thought it stuck out “like a soar thumb”. When asked, Mr. Michelangelo stated that he believed the cell tower at the Police Department was 160 – 165 feet tall. When asked if this cell tower would be a tower or a “cell tree”, no one was sure. Chairman Attwood commented that he hopes the cell tower is kept outside the fencing, but it would be up to the Town Council to decide.

7. New Business

A. Proposed Annual Monthly Meeting Dates for WPCA - 2011

Members of the Authority reviewed the proposed annual meeting dates for 2011. It was suggested that the November 2011 meeting date be scheduled for the 16th and the December 2011 meeting date be scheduled for the 14th, due to the holidays.

Mr. Attwood moved that the Water Pollution Control Authority accept the 2011 Meeting Date Schedule as presented with the amendments of the November 2011 meeting being set for November 16, 2011 and the December 2011 meeting being set for December 14, 2011. The motion was seconded by Mr. Gancarz and carried unanimously, 5 - 0.

8. Old Business

Mr. Dievert commented that Cheshire Correctional Institute had another month at record high flow levels. One of the facilities has been converted into a training facility from a prison, so there are fewer prisoners. The valve and the gauge have been checked at the facility. Mr. Dievert stated that a dye test is being done to see if the gutters are tied into their system. Chairman Attwood asked with the training facility opened up? Mr. Dievert replied he thought it was in March or April, which may have some correlation with the increased flows.
A. Septic System Summary from Chesprocott dated December 1, 2010

Mr. Dievert reviewed the December 1st letter from Chesprocott noting that in the previous month there has been 1 failure and 4 repairs inspected to local septic systems.

B. Approval of Minutes of Regular meeting of November 17, 2010

Mr. Attwood moved that the Water Pollution Control Authority accept the November 17, 2010 minutes as corrected:

Page 3, in the last paragraph before the motion, it should read, “… be operational, and have the ability for units to be connected to the system.”

The motion was seconded by Mr. Korman and carried unanimously, 5 - 0.

Chairman Attwood noted that the minutes were excellent and he does not know how the Recording Secretary keeps up with the Authority, fantastic job!

9. Possible Executive Session

Pending litigation items.

An Executive Session was not held during this meeting of the Water Pollution Control Authority.

10. Adjournment

Mr. Eberle moved that the Water Pollution Control Authority adjourn their December 15, 2010 Regular Monthly meeting at 9:40 p.m. The motion was seconded by Mr. Scannell and carried unanimously, 5 - 0.

Respectfully submitted,

John Attwood, Chairman
Water Pollution Control Authority
Attest:

Tracey Kozlowski, Recording Secretary
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